in 11 F

NEW MAMPSHIRE LAW LIBRARY

SEP 0 3 1998

CONCORD N.H.

1953 Jan. 5

Mr. William H. Pilor, Cormissioner Peparecent of Labor 17 Planters Corost Concord, Now Mampahire

Door Mr. Rillog:

How have inquired as to whether or not an employer of less than five paragra, who voluntarily accepts the provisions of the Workman's Compensation for his to a business in which he may be engaged, would after such voluntary acceptance have coverage for fame or demestic servants who may also be in his cryley. Hesentially this question is whether or not an employer under the New Hermaldre Act might voluntarily accept its terms as to certain entegories of explayers.

Valuatory necestaries is take under the provisions of Revised Larg, chapter 226, continu 3 as enemded by chapter 266, Laws of 1947, section 3. This continue reads as follows:

They employed of less than the persons, or of farm labor or democals servents may accept the provisions of this chapter by falling an election with the commissioner of labor. Such employer shall themselves be liable to all of his employees in the case server as other employers."

On the surface it would arrest that an individual who is engaged in business continued less than five persons and the else engages demotic labor at his have world be required to provide coverage for the demotic servants if he volunteally accepted the terms of the Act as to his business employees. It is our eminion that this result does not follow as a matter of law. A further focual determination would have to be used as to the extent of the acceptance on the part of the employer.

businesses, activities or operations, however, one who is engaged in different businesses, activities or operations, may elect to come under the Act as to one or more. 63 Am. Jur. Workman's Compensation, section 39. I refer also to the amountation in 136 AER 800 at page 901. Cases are there cited in which the person engaged in one or more exempt business categories accepted the terms

of the Workmen's Compensation Act as to one business activity and the courts held that other exempt categories were not included in a voluntary acceptance as to one.

We feel therefore, that under the provisions of the New Mampahire Act, an employer voluntarily accepting the provisions of the Act would not, as a matter of law, be subject to its provisions as to form and demostic labor.

Very truly yours,

Honry Downt, Jr., Assistant Attorney General

m:El